

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,082	02/13/2002		Anthony C. Parra		7564	
30406	7590	12/09/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT I	. MARS	Н				
54 DANADA	A DRIVE					
P.O. BOX 44	468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WHEATON	, IL 601	87-4468				

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A-uliadiam Na	A 1: 4/ - 1					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Votific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/075,082	PARRA ET AL.					
•	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Julie K. Brocketti	3713					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence	address				
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>28 July 2005</u> is defective for f	ailure to comply with one or more	e provisions of 3	7 CFR 41.37.				
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from tISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio						
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4 . 🖾	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗵	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).							
8. 🗵	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9. 🗵	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).							

See Continuation Sheet.

Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Julie K Brocketti Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3713

10.🖾

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The brief does not mention "by name" the real party in interest. The brief only mentions the real party as appellants, who are the applicants and the inventors. The Examiner notes that the individuals need to be referred to by their names.

With regards to item 4, in the Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter section, the structure corresponding to the means plus function language in claim 4 needs to be referenced in the specification since claim 4 is being argued separately. Furthermore, all a summary of the claimed subject matter for all claims argued separately needs to be provided.

With regards to item 6, it is noted that (a) and (b) is only one ground of rejection and should be listed as such.

With regards to item 7, it is noted that the spate heading is required for each ground of rejection. The Examiner notes that while Applicant has supplied headings they are not that visible as headings and should be either bold faced or underlined in order to stand out. Also each claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading. Therefore, claim 10, which are argued separately, should be placed under a subheading with respect to the first ground of rejection.

With regards to items 8 and 9, Applicant needs to add a page with an evidence appendix and a page with the related proceedings appendix even if there are none. Please label them as NONE as seen in MPEP 1205.02.

The Examiner notes that the Appeal Brief was not signed.

The heading "Conclusion" is an improper heading and should be deleted.